

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/695,010	Applicant(s) ABRAHAM ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael J. Weinberg	Art Unit 2827	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Michael J. Weinberg.

(3) _____

(2) Michael Buchenhorner, reg. #33,162.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,2

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Because of what looked like an easy-to-fix error in claim 2, the examiner initiated a telephonic interview to speed prosecution. A tentative agreement on an examiner's amendment was reached. (See office action side note) However, upon further inspection, there looked to be several new issues introduced by the amendment. As such, an office action was necessitated. .